

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,055	09/12/2002	Urs Wuest	7524.23USWO	5754
23552	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			SORKIN, DAVID L	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/890,055	WUEST ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David L. Sorkin	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper replich places the application	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, as sion and the corresponding amount of the late to the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection, IE FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee dension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.			
NOTE: see Detailed Action.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> wo amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted in	ı a separate, timely f	filed			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC)T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which wer	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 8-15.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
D. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		David L. Sorkin Examiner	•			

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The proposal to broaden claim 8 by deleting the lock valve system requirement would necessitate further search and consideration because art not disclosing this limitation would need to be considered.
- 2. The concept of "proposed drawing corrections" has been eliminated from the rules. Any amendment to the drawings must comply with 37 CFR 1.121 (d) and (f).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Da Morken

David Sorkin

David L. Sorkin Examiner Art Unit 1723